

Message

From: Turk, David [Turk.David@epa.gov]
Sent: 2/9/2021 7:10:37 PM
To: Griffin, Stephanie [griffin.stephanie@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Sorry, I ended up getting distracted. Let me email Madison re: the new chemicals Q. I think the focus of Dave's question is that someone may submit a PMN on a PFAS and it's possible that data that we collect on a PFAS via this rule could help inform the outcome of that PMN request.

Peter seemed to think Michal wanted to review again but I think that it would rapid and light. However, Tala may know more about Michal's preferences re: this rule.

From: Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Oops, I may have been too eager in my email earlier. Before I reply to Peter, are you anticipating us making any additional edits per David's comments? I'll hold off w/ my email to RSB until we're done with the document.

From: Griffin, Stephanie
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 12:33 PM
To: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

I'm sorry, I stepped away for lunch and missed a slew of emails here. No, I don't have a new chemicals contact.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Re Tala's email: this has already been cleared to go to OMB??? That's amazing, and I'll shortly email Peter to confirm I'm all done with addressing edits.

From: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 12:05 PM
To: Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Do you have a contact in new chemicals to ask this Q? Otherwise, I can email Madison Le to ask her. I don't think it's a terrible add to include.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Widawsky, David <Widawsky.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 11:57 AM
To: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>; Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Cc: Reisman, Larry <Reisman.Larry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Additional thoughts:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

David Widawsky, PhD
Director - Data Gathering and Analysis Division
OCSPP/OPPT
(202) 566-2215

From: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 9, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Widawsky, David <Widawsky.David@epa.gov>; Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Cc: Reisman, Larry <Reisman.Larry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Dave,

If you like, we can email Tala re: the 8a7 edits to provide her an update ahead of the COB deadline requested by Peter. Here's what I've drafted.

Tala,

As a heads up, we're handling typesetting review w/ Angela's group. They provided a substantial # of edits and asked for us to clear the review today. Per Peter, next step would be to share the updated version w/ Michal and then send the document to OMB.

Would you like to see the FRN again? RCS provided a good # of edits. However, while the # of edits was substantial, nothing stands out to us particularly noteworthy.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-Dave

From: Turk, David
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 2:54 PM
To: Widawsky, David <Widawsky.David@epa.gov>; Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Cc: Schwarz, Stephanie <Schwarz.Stephanie@epa.gov>; Reisman, Larry <Reisman.Larry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

I just spoke with Peter; they're wondering whether we could finish our review by COB tomorrow. I think that should be feasible. However, I'd like to confirm with OGC that none of the edits are problematic and check in to what extend the DGAD IO and/or OPPT needs to review the document again. Steph handled most of the comments last week. I think that tomorrow morning she can close out anything that's remaining. In terms of whether to include the CFR language in a new part or to incorporate into an existing part, it sounds like we can keep it as-is (i.e., as a new part) and continue this discussion amongst ourselves while the proposal is at OMB.

Next steps, upon being return to RCS, require another quick look from Michal (I believe) and then the proposal can go to OMB from what I heard.

From: Smith, Peterj <Smith.Peterj@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 12:39 PM
To: Turk, David <Turk.David@epa.gov>; Griffin, Stephanie <griffin.stephanie@epa.gov>
Cc: Hofmann, Angela <Hofmann.Angela@epa.gov>; Sleasman, Katherine <Sleasman.Katherine@epa.gov>; Siu, Carolyn <siu.carolyn@epa.gov>; Wingate, Diedra <Wingate.Diedra@epa.gov>; Schwarz, Stephanie <Schwarz.Stephanie@epa.gov>; Reisman, Larry <Reisman.Larry@epa.gov>; Widawsky, David <Widawsky.David@epa.gov>
Subject: Comments on PFAS Data Call NPRM

Dave and Stephanie,

I'll be your RSB contact for the rulemaking going forward, and Katherine will be my back up. Carolyn Siu will also be providing some RSB support as an entrée to rulemaking efforts and interagency reviews in general. I have comments on the NPRM package (attached), specifically the NPRM and the EA. Per usual, I have suggested revisions that I think could work to resolve the comments. I think these are pretty straightforward, but happy to meet if you have questions/concerns. To highlight I have comments addressing:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

We just confirmed with Michal that she'll take another quick look at the NPRM after making any refinements, e.g., re: EJ. I'll also be setting up very soon a limited access SharePoint site for the upcoming interagency review of the NPRM (and later the final rule), and I definitely want to make sure you and the rest of the team understand how we'll use that site and related collaboration functions, so that would be a separate, upcoming meeting with you.

All the best,
Peter

***NOTICE:** If this electronic communication explains, justifies, or documents an official action or decision, it may be subject to federal records requirements. Federal employees should evaluate the contents of this message before deleting it.*

Peter J. Smith | Office phone (202) 564-0262 | Mobile phone (202) 845-3485 | E-mail smith.peterj@epa.gov
US Environmental Protection Agency | Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention | Regulatory Support Branch